

An International Journal
COMPUTERS &
mathematics
with applications

PERGAMON Computers and Mathematics with Applications 41 (2001) 1559-1560

www.elsevier.nl/locate/camwa

## A New Proximity Test for Polynomial Zeros

V. Y. PAN

Mathematics and Computer Science Department Lehman College, CUNY, Bronx, NY 10468, U.S.A. vpan@alpha.lehman.cuny.edu

(Received June 2000; accepted July 2000)

Abstract—We combine some known techniques and results of Turan and Schönhage to improve substantially numerical performance of the computation of the minimum and the maximum distances from a fixed complex point to roots (zeros) of a fixed univariate polynomial. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords—Polynomial roots, Proximity test.

Given the coefficients of a polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i x^i = p_n \prod_{j=1}^{n} (x - z_j), \qquad p_n \neq 0,$$
 (1)

we seek the value

$$r = \max_{i} |z_{i}|. \tag{2}$$

The solution of the same problem applied to the reverse polynomial  $r(x) = x^n p(1/x)$  produces  $\min_j |z_j|$ . By shifting the origin to a fixed complex point X, that is, by solving the same problem for the polynomials q(y) = r(y+X) or s(y) = p(y+X), we may compute  $\min_j |X-z_j|$  (this is called *proximity test* at X) or  $\max_j |X-z_j|$ . Such tasks are important in polynomial computations, in particular, for rootfinding [1].

We propose the following substantial improvement of the numerical performance of the known algorithms.

ALGORITHM 1.

**Input:** the coefficients of a polynomial p(x) of (1) and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Output: a real  $r^*$  satisfying

$$r^* \le r \le 5(1+\epsilon)r^*,\tag{3}$$

for r of (2).

Computation.

1. Compute  $t = \max_{k \ge 1} |p_{n-k}/p_n|^{1/k}$ .

Supported by NSF Grant CCR 9732206 and PSC-CUNY Award 669363.

0898-1221/01/\$ - see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. Typeset by  $A_{MS}$ -TEX PII: S0898-1221(01)00120-1

1560 V. Y. PAN

2. Compute the coefficients of the polynomial

$$q(x) = p(4tx) = (4t)^n p_n \prod_j (x - y_j), \qquad y_j = \frac{z_j}{(4t)}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

- 3. Compute  $\delta = \epsilon/(40(1+\epsilon))$  and  $M = 2^l$ ,  $l = \lceil \log_2 \log_2(n/\epsilon) \rceil$ .
- 4. Compute the values

$$s_k^* = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \omega^{m(k+1)} \frac{q'(\omega^m)}{q(\omega^m)}, \qquad m = 1, \dots, M-2,$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/M)$  is the  $M^{\text{th}}$  root of 1.

5. Compute

$$r_1^* = \max_{k=1,\dots,n} \left( \frac{(|s_k^*| - \delta)}{n} \right)^{1/k}, \qquad r^* = \frac{r_1^*}{(4t)},$$

and output  $r^*$ .

Let us deduce (3), that is, prove correctness of the algorithm. Recall that  $t/n \le r \le 2t$  [2] and deduce that

$$\frac{1}{(4n)} \le r_1 = \max_j |y_j| \le \frac{1}{2}.\tag{4}$$

By applying a bound of [3], we obtain that

$$|s_k^* - s_k| \le \frac{n2^{-M-k}}{(1 - 2^{-M})} \le n2^{-M} \le \epsilon, \tag{5}$$

for  $k=1,\ldots,n$ , where  $s_k=\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^k$ . Clearly,  $|s_k^*|-\delta \leq |s_k| \leq r_1^k n$  for all k, and therefore,  $r_1^* \leq r_1$ , and  $r^* \leq r$  (cf. (3)). It remains to prove that  $r \leq 5(1+\epsilon)r^*$ .

By Turan's theorem [4], we have

$$r_1 \le 5s$$
,  $s = \max_{k=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{|s_k|}{n}\right)^{1/k}$ .

Let  $s^h = |s_h|/n$  for some  $h \ge 1$ . Then we have

$$r_1^h \le 5^h \frac{|s_h|}{n} \le 5^h \frac{(|s_h^*| + \delta)}{n} \le 5^h \frac{((r_1^*)^h n + 2\delta)}{n} = 5^h \left( (r_1^*)^h + \frac{2\delta}{n} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$r_1 \le 5\left(r_1^* + \frac{2\delta}{n}\right).$$

Substitute  $r_1 \geq 1/(4n)$  (cf. (4)) and obtain that

$$r_1 \leq 5r_1^* + 40\delta r_1, \qquad r_1 \leq 5r_1^*(1 - 40\delta) \leq 5(1 + \epsilon)r^*.$$

This completes the proof of (3).

The algorithm requires O(n) flops except for Stage 4, which is reduced to performing three discrete Fourier transforms at the  $M^{\text{th}}$  roots of 1, that is, to  $O(M \log M)$  flops, due to FFT. To achieve  $\epsilon = O(1/n^c)$  for any fixed c, it is sufficient to choose  $M = O(\log n)$ . Finally, by applying s Graeffe's root squaring steps, we may shift from p(x) to the polynomial  $p_n \prod_j (x - z_j^S)$ , where  $S = 2^s$ . Application of Algorithm 1 to this polynomial enables us to replace the factor of 5 in (3) by  $5^{1/S}$ ,  $S = 2^s$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. V.Y. Pan, Approximating complex polynomial zeros: Modified quadtree (Weyl's) construction and improved Newton's iteration, J. of Complexity 16 (1), 213–264 (2000).
- 2. P. Henrici, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Wiley, New York, (1974).
- 3. A. Schönhage, The fundamental theorem of algebra in terms of computational complexity, Math. Dept., University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, (1982).
- P. Turan, Power sum method and approximative solution of algebraic equations, Math. Comp. 29, 311-318 (1975).