

# Math 70300

## Homework 2

Due: September 28, 2006

1. Suppose that  $f$  is holomorphic in a region  $\Omega$ , i.e. an open connected set. Prove that in any of the following cases

(a)  $\Re(f)$  is constant; (b)  $\Im(f)$  is constant; (c)  $|f|$  is constant; (d)  $\arg(f)$  is constant; we can conclude that  $f$  is a constant.

See also Ahlfors, p. 72.

If  $f'(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ , we fix a point  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and join it with any path  $\gamma$  to  $z$ . Then

$$f(z) - f(z_0) = \int_{\gamma} f'(z) dz = 0.$$

So  $f(z)$  is a constant. We set  $f(z) = u + iv$ . For (a) we note that if  $u$  is constant, then  $u_x = 0$  and  $u_y = 0$ . But  $f'(z) = u_x + iv_x = u_x - iv_y = 0$ . So the previous statement gives that  $f$  is constant. For (b) we note that if  $v$  is constant, then  $v_x = v_y = 0$ . But  $f'(z) = v_y + iv_x = 0$ . So again  $f$  is constant. For (c) we argue as follows: If  $u^2 + v^2 = k$ , then we get by differentiation

$$2uu_x + 2vv_x = 0, \quad 2uu_y + 2vv_y = 0.$$

Using the Cauchy-Riemann equations we get:

$$2uu_x - 2vu_y = 0, \quad 2uu_y + 2vu_x = 0.$$

We now have a homogeneous system with unknowns  $u_x$  and  $u_y$ . The determinant is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2u & -2v \\ 2v & 2u \end{vmatrix} = 4(u^2 + v^2).$$

If  $u^2 + v^2 \neq 0$  the system has a unique solution  $u_x = u_y = 0$ , which implies that  $u$  is constant and we can use (a). If  $u^2 + v^2 = 0$  at some point, then automatically  $u^2 + v^2 = 0$  for all points, and the function  $f$  vanishes.

For (d) we set  $u = kv$  for fixed  $k$ . Consider the analytic function  $g(z) = (1+ki)(u+iv)$ , which has real part  $u - kv = 0$ . Then by (a),  $g(z)$  is constant, which implies that  $f$  is constant.

2. Show that if  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of non-zero complex numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = L,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = L.$$

This is the ratio test and it can be used for the calculation of the radius of convergence of a power series.

*Hint:* Show that

$$\liminf \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} \leq \liminf |a_n|^{1/n} \leq \limsup |a_n|^{1/n} \leq \limsup \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}.$$

We only need to prove the hint, since

$$\lim x_n = L \Leftrightarrow \liminf x_n = \limsup x_n = L.$$

Also since we work with absolute values, we might as well prove the result for the sequence  $b_n = |a_n|$ . Set

$$l = \liminf \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}, \quad L = \liminf \sqrt[n]{b_n}, \quad M = \limsup \sqrt[n]{b_n}, \quad m = \limsup \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}.$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $m = \limsup b_{n+1}/b_n = \inf_N \sup_{n \geq N} b_{n+1}/b_n$ , the number  $m + \epsilon$  is not a lower bound, i.e., we can find a  $N$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq N} b_{n+1}/b_n < m + \epsilon$ , i.e. for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} < m + \epsilon.$$

We apply this successively for  $n = N, N + 1, \dots, n - 1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{N+1} &< (m + \epsilon)b_N \\ b_{N+2} &< (m + \epsilon)b_{N+1} \\ &\vdots \\ b_n &< (m + \epsilon)b_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply together to get, after cancellations,

$$b_n < (m + \epsilon)^{n-N} b_N.$$

We take  $n$ -th roots to get

$$\sqrt[n]{b_n} < (m + \epsilon) \left( \frac{b_N}{(m + \epsilon)^N} \right)^{1/n}, \quad n \geq N.$$

We take lim sup of both sides, taking into account that this does not depend on a finite number of initial terms and that  $c^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$  for  $c > 0$ . This gives:

$$\limsup \sqrt[n]{b_n} \leq (m + \epsilon).$$

Since this is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{b_n} = M \leq m$ .

For the lim inf we work analogously: Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Find  $N$  such that  $\inf_{n \geq N} b_{n+1}/b_n > l - \epsilon$ , which implies, for  $n \geq N$ ,  $b_{n+1}/b_n > l - \epsilon$ . We apply this successively for  $n = N, N + 1, \dots, n - 1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{N+1} &> (l - \epsilon)b_N \\ b_{N+2} &> (l - \epsilon)b_{N+1} \\ &\vdots \\ b_n &> (l - \epsilon)b_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply together to get, after cancellations,

$$b_n > (l - \epsilon)^{n-N} b_N.$$

We take  $n$ -th roots to get

$$\sqrt[n]{b_n} > (l - \epsilon) \left( \frac{b_N}{(l - \epsilon)^N} \right)^{1/n}, \quad n \geq N.$$

We take lim inf of both sides, taking into account that this does not depend on a finite number of initial terms and that  $c^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$  for  $c > 0$ . This gives:

$$\liminf \sqrt[n]{b_n} \geq (l - \epsilon).$$

Since this is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get  $\liminf \sqrt[n]{b_n} = L \geq l$ .

3. (a) Find the radius of convergence of the hypergeometric series

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+n-1)\beta(\beta+1) \cdots (\beta+n-1)}{n! \gamma(\gamma+1) \cdots (\gamma+n-1)} z^n.$$

Here  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

We use the ratio test. We must assume that the terms are nonzero. This happens as long as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not negative integers (or zero). If either is a negative integer (or zero), the power series terminates and we get a polynomial with infinite radius of convergence. We get in the general case

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} &= \frac{|\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n)\beta(\beta+1) \cdots (\beta+n-1)(\beta+n)|}{|\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+n-1)\beta(\beta+1) \cdots (\beta+n-1)|} \\ &\cdot \frac{n! |\gamma(\gamma+1) \cdots (\gamma+n-1)(\gamma+n)|}{(n+1)! |\gamma(\gamma+1) \cdots (\gamma+n-1)|} = \frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)}{(n+1)(\gamma+n)} \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $R = 1$ .

(b) Find the radius of convergence of the Bessel function of order  $r$ :

$$J_r(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+r)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We use the ratio test

$$\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = \frac{2^{2n}n!(n+r)!}{2^{2n+2}(n+1)!(n+1+r)!} = \frac{1}{4(n+1)(n+1+r)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So the radius of convergence is  $\infty$ .

4. Prove that, although all the following power series have  $R = 1$ ,

(a)  $\sum nz^n$  does not converge on any point of the unit circle,

(b)  $\sum z^n/n^2$  converges at every point of the unit circle,

(c)  $\sum z^n/n$  converges at every point of the unit circle except  $z = 1$ . (Hint: Use summation by parts.)

Since  $\lim \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$  it is easy to see that  $R = 1$ . For (a) we notice that on  $|z| = 1$ ,  $|nz^n| = n \rightarrow \infty$ , so the series cannot converge, as the general term does not go to 0. For (b) we notice that we can apply the comparison test with  $b_n = n^{-2}$ , where  $\sum b_n < \infty$ . We have on  $|z| = 1$  the bound  $|z^n/n^2| = b_n$ . The comparison test implies that the series converges.

For (c) and  $z = 1$  we notice that we get the harmonic series  $\sum n^{-1}$ , which diverges by the integral test: compare with the integral

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} dx = \infty.$$

For  $z \neq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$  we get by summation by parts, setting  $s_N = \sum_{n=1}^N z^n = (1 - z^{N+1})/(1 - z)$ ,  $s_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{z^n}{n} &= \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{s_n - s_{n-1}}{n} = \sum_1^M \frac{s_n}{n} - \sum_0^{M-1} \frac{s_n}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{s_M}{M} + \sum_1^{M-1} s_n \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = \frac{s_M}{M} + \sum_1^{M-1} \frac{s_n}{n(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|s_M| \leq 2/|1 - z|$  (note that it is exactly in this geometric series that we use  $z \neq 1$ ), the first term goes to zero, while the series can be compared with

$$\frac{1}{|1 - z|} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{|1 - z|}.$$

5. The Fibonacci numbers are defined by  $c_0 = 1$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,

$$c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Define their generating function as

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

(a) Find a quadratic polynomial  $Az^2 + Bz + C$  such that

$$(Az^2 + Bz + C)F(z) = 1.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Ac_n z^{n+2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bc_n z^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Cc_n z^n &= 1, \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} Ac_{n-2} z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Bc_{n-1} z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Cc_n z^n &= 1, \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (Ac_{n-2} + Bc_{n-1} + Cc_n) z^n + (Bc_0 + Cc_1)z + Cc_0 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the coefficients of the power series, we get

$$Ac_{n-2} + Bc_{n-1} + Cc_n = 0, \quad Bc_0 + Cc_1 = 0, \quad Cc_0 = 1.$$

Using  $c_0 = c_1 = 1$  we get  $C = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ , while the first equation becomes  $Ac_{n-2} - c_{n-1} + c_n = 0$ . The recurrence formula  $c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}$  implies  $A = -1$ . So the quadratic polynomial is  $-z^2 - z + 1$ .

(b) Use partial fractions to determine the following closed expression for  $c_n$ .

$$c_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1} - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

(Qualifying exam Sept. 2006)

The roots of the quadratic polynomial are

$$\rho_{1,2} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

with  $\rho_1 \rho_2 = -1$ . We set

$$\frac{1}{-z^2 - z + 1} = \frac{A}{z - \rho_1} + \frac{B}{z - \rho_2}$$

to get

$$-1 = A(z - \rho_2) + B(z - \rho_1) \implies A = \frac{1}{\rho_2 - \rho_1}, \quad B = \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \rho_2} = -A.$$

This gives  $A = -1/\sqrt{5}$ ,  $B = 1/\sqrt{5}$ . We expand the fractions into geometric series valid for  $|z/\rho_1| < 1$  and  $|z/\rho_2| < 1$  respectively to get

$$\frac{A}{z - \rho_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{1}{\rho_1(1 - z/\rho_1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\rho_1^{n+1}},$$

and

$$\frac{B}{z - \rho_1} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{1}{\rho_2(1 - z/\rho_2)} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\rho_2^{n+1}}.$$

This gives, by the uniqueness of the power series

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\rho_2^{n+1}} \right)$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( (-\rho_2)^{n+1} - (-\rho_1)^{n+1} \right)$$

using  $\rho_1\rho_2 = -1$ .

6. Expand  $(1 - z)^{-m}$  in powers of  $z$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$(1 - z)^{-m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

then

$$a_n \sim \frac{1}{(m-1)!} n^{m-1}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\sim$  means that the quotient of the expressions to the left and the right of it tends to 1.

The geometric series is

$$(1 - z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n, \quad |z| < 1.$$

We differentiate  $m - 1$ -times to get

$$\frac{(m-1)!}{(1-z)^m} = \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m+2) z^{n-m+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+m-1)(n+m-2) \cdots (n+1) z^n.$$

So

$$a_n = \frac{(n+m-1) \cdots (n+1)}{(m-1)!}.$$

Since  $m$  is fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we easily see that

$$\frac{a_n}{n^{m-1}/(m-1)!} \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $a_n$  has  $m-1$  factors in the numerator.

7. Show that for  $|z| < 1$  we have

$$\frac{z}{1-z^2} + \frac{z^2}{1-z^4} + \cdots + \frac{z^{2^n}}{1-z^{2^{n+1}}} + \cdots = \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{2z^2}{1+z^2} + \cdots + \frac{2^k z^{2^k}}{1+z^{2^k}} + \cdots = \frac{z}{1-z}. \quad (2)$$

Justify any change in the order of summation.

*Hint:* Use the dyadic expansion of an integer and the sum  $1+2+2^2+\cdots+2^k = 2^{k+1}-1$ .

We first prove the Lemma:

*Every positive integer  $n$  has a unique expression of the form  $2^t + k_t 2^{t+1}$ , with  $t \geq 0$ ,  $k_t \geq 0$ .*

We use for this the dyadic expansion of  $n$ . If

$$n = a_0 + a_1 2 + a_2 2^2 + \cdots + a_s 2^s, \quad a_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

we pick  $t$  to be the first nonzero  $a_i$ : i.e.  $a_t = 1$ , while  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{t-1} = 0$ . Then

$$n = 2^t + a_{t+1} 2^{t+1} + \cdots + a_s 2^s = 2^t + 2^{t+1}(a_{t+1} + \cdots + a_s 2^{s-t-1}) = 2^t + 2^{t+1} k_t.$$

This expression is unique as

$$2^t + k_t 2^{t+1} = 2^j + 2^{j+1} k_j, j \neq t$$

implies that either  $j > t$  or  $j < t$ . By symmetry we assume  $j > t$ , i.e.,  $j \geq t+1$ . Look at the remainder when we divide by  $2^{t+1}$ . The left-hand side gives  $2^t$ , while the right-hand side gives 0.

We expand the left-side of (1) using the geometric series to get

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} z^{2k_1+1} + \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} z^{4k_2+2} + \cdots + \sum_{k_m=0}^{\infty} z^{2^{m+1}k_m+2^m} + \cdots$$

while the right-hand side is just  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n$ . The lemma proves the identity, provided that we have absolute convergence of the double series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_m=0}^{\infty} z^{2^{m+1}k_m+2^m},$$

which would mean that we can rearrange the terms in whatever way we would like. But the lemma shows that the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_m=0}^{\infty} |z^{2^{m+1}k_m+2^m}|$$

is exactly the geometric series  $\sum |z|^n$ ,  $|z| < 1$ , which converges.

For (2) we expand the left-hand side to get

$$\begin{aligned} & z \sum_0 (-1)^n z^n + 2z^2 \sum_0 (-1)^n z^{2n} + \dots + 2^k z^{2^k} \sum_0 (-1)^n z^{2^k n} + \dots \\ &= \sum_1 (-1)^{m+1} z^m + 2 \sum_1 (-1)^{m+1} z^{2m} + \dots + 2^k \sum_1 (-1)^{m+1} z^{2^k m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the dyadic expansion of  $n$  we pick the first  $a_t = 1$ , such that  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{t-1} = 0$ . Then  $2^i |n$  for  $i \leq t$ , while  $2^{t+1}$  does not divide  $n$  and the same is true for higher powers of 2. For  $i \leq t-1$ ,  $n = 2^i * l$  with  $l$  even, so the coefficient it picks from the  $i$ -series in the sum is  $2^i (-1)^{l+1} = -2^i$ . On the other hand for  $i = t$ ,  $n = 2^t * l$  with  $l$  odd, so that it picks a coefficient  $2^t (-1)^{l+1} = 2^t$ . For  $i > t$  it picks nothing. So the coefficient of  $z^n$  is

$$-1 + (-2) + (-2^2) + \dots + (-2^{t-1}) + 2^t = 1$$

by the identity  $1 + 2 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1$ .

*Example:*  $n = 4$ +higher powers of 2. We write the first 4 series in the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} & z - z^2 + z^3 - z^4 + \dots \\ & 2(z^2 - z^4 + z^6 - z^8 + \dots) \\ & 4(z^4 - z^8 + z^{12} - z^{16} + \dots) \\ & 8(z^8 - z^{16} + z^{24} - z^{32} + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

The first three give to  $z^4$  coefficients  $-1, -2, 4$ , while the last and the subsequent ones give 0.

We need to explain the interchange of summations. We look at the series with absolute values on the terms. This is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^k |z|^{2^k m}.$$

If this converges, then the original double sum converges absolutely and we can sum in whatever order we like. In this series the coefficient of  $|z|^n$  is equal to

$$\sum_{2^k | n} 2^k = \sum_{k \leq t} 2^k = 2^{t+1} - 1 < 2^{t+1} \leq 2n, \quad n = 2^t + a_{t+1} 2^{t+1} + \dots$$

So we compare with the series  $\sum 2n |z|^n$ , which has radius of convergence 1. This suffices.



8. Find the holomorphic function of  $z$  that vanishes at  $z = 0$  and has real part

$$u(x, y) = \frac{x(1 + x^2 + y^2)}{1 + 2x^2 - 2y^2 + (x^2 + y^2)^2}.$$

We substitute  $z + \bar{z} = 2x$ ,  $x^2 - y^2 = \Re(z^2) = (z^2 + \bar{z}^2)/2$  and  $z\bar{z} = x^2 + y^2$  to get

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z + \bar{z})(1 + z\bar{z})}{1 + z^2 + \bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{z + \bar{z} + z^2\bar{z} + \bar{z}^2z}{(1 + z^2)(1 + \bar{z}^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{1 + z^2} + \frac{\bar{z}}{1 + \bar{z}^2} \right).$$

We set  $f(z) = z/(1 + z^2)$ , which is holomorphic, to get

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + \bar{f}(z))$$

i.e.,  $u(x, y)$  is the real part of  $f(z)$ , and  $f(z) = 0$ , this gives the answer to be  $f(z)$ .

*Second method:* (Look at p. 27 in Ahlfors) Since  $f(z)$  is holomorphic, the conjugate function  $g(x, y) = \overline{f(z)}$  depends only on  $\bar{z}$ , so we write  $g(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$  to get

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + g(\bar{z})) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x + iy) + g(x - iy)).$$

This is true for  $x$  and  $y$  real. Formally we can plug  $x = z$  and  $y = z/(2i)$ , so that

$$u(z/2, z/(2i)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + g(0)).$$

This determines  $f(z)$  up to a constant. If  $u(0, 0) = 0$  and we ask for  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $g(0) = 0$ .

In our case

$$f(z) = 2u(z/2, z/(2i)) = 2 \frac{(z/2)(1 + (z^2/4) + (-z^2/4))}{1 + 2(z^2/4 + z^2/4) + (z^2/4 - z^2/4)^2} = \frac{z}{1 + z^2}.$$

9. (i) Show that the Laplace operator can be calculated as

$$\Delta = 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

(ii) Show that for any analytic function  $f(z)$  we have

$$\Delta |f(z)|^2 = 4|f'(z)|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \log(1 + |f(z)|^2) = \frac{4|f'(z)|^2}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2}.$$

(i) We have for a function  $f$  with continuous second partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) (f_x + i f_y) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) f_x + i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) f_y \\ &= f_{xx} - i f_{yx} + i(f_{xy} - i f_{yy}) = f_{xx} - i f_{yx} + i f_{xy} + f_{yy} = f_{xx} + f_{yy} = \Delta f, \end{aligned}$$

since the mixed partial  $f_{xy}, f_{yx}$  are equal for functions with continuous second partial derivatives. Reversing the order of the calculation gives  $4 \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z f = \Delta f$ .

(ii) For an analytic function  $\partial_z f(z) = f'(z)$ , while  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \overline{f(z)} = \overline{f'(z)}$ , since  $f$  does not depend on  $\bar{z}$ , so the result from applying  $\partial_{\bar{z}}$  on its conjugate can be calculated from  $f'(z)$ : e.g.  $f(z) = z^2, \overline{f(z)} = \bar{z}^2, \partial_{\bar{z}} \overline{f(z)} = 2\bar{z} = \overline{2z} = \overline{\partial_z f(z)}$ . This gives:

$$\Delta |f(z)|^2 = 4 \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} (f(z) \overline{f(z)}) = 4 \partial_z (f(z) \overline{f'(z)}) = 4 \overline{f'(z)} \partial_z f(z) = 4 \overline{f'(z)} f'(z) = 4 |f'(z)|^2,$$

since  $f(z)$  is a constant when we differentiate in  $\bar{z}$ , and  $\overline{f'(z)}$  is a constant when we differentiate in  $z$ , as it does not depend on  $z$ .

For the second part we will use the chain rule as expressed in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  coordinates (exercise 13 in homework 1). We use  $g(w) = \log(1 + w)$ , which is holomorphic in  $w$  for  $\Re w > -1$  (there is no difficulty in defining the argument of  $1 + w$  in this domain. For  $f$  we have  $|f(z)|^2 \geq 0$ . We have

$$g_{\bar{w}} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g_w = \frac{1}{1 + w}.$$

$$\partial_z \log(1 + |f(z)|^2) = \frac{1}{1 + |f(z)|^2} (1 + |f(z)|^2)_z = \frac{\overline{f(z)} f'(z)}{1 + |f(z)|^2}.$$

We now apply the quotient rule

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \log(1 + |f(z)|^2) &= 4 \partial_{\bar{z}} \frac{\overline{f(z)} f'(z)}{1 + |f(z)|^2} = 4 \partial_{\bar{z}} \frac{\overline{f(z)} f'(z)}{1 + f(z) \overline{f(z)}} \\ &= 4 \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} (\overline{f(z)} f'(z)) (1 + |f(z)|^2) - \overline{f(z)} f'(z) \partial_{\bar{z}} (1 + \overline{f(z)} f(z))}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2} \\ &= 4 \frac{\overline{f'(z)} f'(z) (1 + |f(z)|^2) - \overline{f(z)} f'(z) \overline{f'(z)} f(z)}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2} = \frac{4 \overline{f'(z)} f'(z)}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

10. Let  $f(z)$  be holomorphic and one-to-one on a set containing the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $D' = f(\mathbb{D})$ . Then the area  $A(D')$  of  $D'$  is given by

$$A(D') = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2,$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .

(Qualifying exam September 2006)

The Jacobian of the mapping  $w = f(z)$  as a mapping from  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $|f'(z)|^2$ . So by the change of variables formula

$$A(D') = \int \int_{D'} 1 \, du \, dv = \int \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 \, dx \, dy.$$

If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , then

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} \implies |f'(z)|^2 = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} n m a_n \bar{a}_m z^{n-1} \bar{z}^{m-1}.$$

We switch to polar coordinates  $z = r e^{i\theta}$  to get ( $dx dy = r dr d\theta$ )

$$A(D') = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} n m a_n \bar{a}_m r^{n+m-2} e^{i\theta(n-m)} r \, dr \, d\theta$$

If  $n - m \neq 0$ , the integral  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta(n-m)} \, d\theta = 0$ , while for  $n = m$  we get  $2\pi$ . This gives

$$A(D') = \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n|^2 r^{2n-1} 2\pi = 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n|^2 \left[ \frac{r^{2n}}{2n} \right]_0^1 = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n.$$

11. (a) Compute the integral

$$\int_{|z|=r} x \, dz$$

for the positive sense of the circle, in two ways: first by using a parametrization, and second, by observing that  $x = (1/2)(z + \bar{z}) = (1/2)(z + r^2/z)$  on the circle.

*First Method:* Parametrization of the circle as  $z = r e^{i\theta}$ ,  $x = r \cos \theta$ ,  $dz = r i e^{i\theta}$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|=r} x \, dz &= \int_0^{2\pi} r \cos \theta r i e^{i\theta} \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 i \cos \theta (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 i (\cos^2 \theta + i \cos \theta \sin \theta) \, d\theta \\ &= r^2 i \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + \cos(2\theta)}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \sin(2\theta) \, d\theta = r^2 i \left[ \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\sin 2\theta}{4} + \frac{-i \cos 2\theta}{4} \right]_0^{2\pi} = r^2 i \pi. \end{aligned}$$

*Second method:* On the circle  $|z| = r$ , we have  $z\bar{z} = r^2 \implies \bar{z} = r^2/z$ , so that  $x = (z + \bar{z})/2 = (1/2)(z + r^2/z)$ . We plug into the integral to get

$$\int_{|z|=r} x \, dz = \int_{|z|=r} \frac{z}{2} + \frac{r^2}{2z} \, dz = \frac{r^2}{2} 2\pi i = r^2 i \pi,$$

since we know that  $\int_{|z|=r} z^n \, dz = 0$  for  $n \neq -1$  and for  $n = -1$  we get  $2\pi i$ .

(b) Compute the integral

$$\int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z^2 - 1}$$

for the positive sense of the circle. Hint: Find a primitive function of the integrand.

We use partial fractions to find that

$$\frac{1}{z^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{z - 1} - \frac{1}{z + 1} \right).$$

We would like to integrate to get  $(\log(z - 1) - \log(z + 1))/2$ . However, this cannot make sense in the region we are working: The  $\log(z - 1)$  requires a cut from, say  $-\infty$  to 1 and  $\log(z + 1)$  requires a cut from  $-\infty$  to  $-1$ . These cuts meet our domain, since the circle  $|z| = 2$  contains both points  $-1$  and  $1$ . Make sense can be thought of being single-valued holomorphic functions that give us the primitive of the terms we consider. However, if we write the expected answer as

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right)$$

things are much better: First of all,  $(z - 1)/(z + 1)$  is real only for real  $z$ . This is seen as follows:

$$\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{\bar{z} - 1}{\bar{z} + 1} \Leftrightarrow z\bar{z} + z - \bar{z} - 1 = z\bar{z} + \bar{z} - z - 1 \Leftrightarrow 2(z - \bar{z}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Im(z) = 0.$$

Moreover, it is a negative number exactly between  $-1$  and  $1$ . So on the complement of  $[-1, 1]$  the function takes nonnegative values. If we define the principal branch of the logarithm to be

$$\log w = \log |w| + i \arg w, \quad -\pi < \arg w < \pi,$$

then  $F(z)$  as the composition of holomorphic maps is holomorphic and is the primitive of  $1/(z^2 - 1)$  in a region that contains our contour. Consequently  $dz/(z^2 - 1)$  is exact and the integral is 0.

*Remark:* One can solve this problem with the residue theorem, which will be seen later in the course.