W4118: advanced scheduling

Instructor: Junfeng Yang

•References: Modern Operating Systems (3rd edition), Operating Systems Concepts (8th edition), previous W4118, and OS at MIT, Stanford, and UWisc

Outline

Advanced scheduling issues

- Multilevel queue scheduling
- Multiprocessor scheduling issues
- Real-time scheduling
- Scheduler examples
 - xv6 scheduling
 - Linux scheduling

Motivation

No one-size-fits-all scheduler

- Different workloads
- Different environment

Building a general scheduler that works well for all is difficult!

Real scheduling algorithms are often more complex than the simple scheduling algorithms we've seen

Combining scheduling algorithms

- Multilevel queue scheduling: ready queue is partitioned into multiple queues
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
 - Foreground processes: RR
 - Background processes: FCFS
- Must choose scheduling algorithm to schedule between queues. Possible algorithms
 - RR between queues
 - Fixed priority for each queue

Outline

Advanced scheduling issues

- Multilevel queue scheduling
- Multiprocessor scheduling issues
- Real-time scheduling
- □ Scheduling in Linux
 - Scheduling algorithm
 - Setting priorities and time slices
 - Other implementation issues

Multiprocessor scheduling issues

Shared-memory Multiprocessor





□ How to allocate processes to CPU?

Symmetric multiprocessor

Architecture



- Small number of CPUs
- Same access time to main memory
- Private cache

Global queue of processes

One ready queue shared across all CPUs



- Good CPU utilization
- Fair to all processes
- Disadvantages
 - Not scalable (contention for global queue lock)
 - Poor cache locality
- □ Linux 2.4 uses global queue

Per-CPU queue of processes

Static partition of processes to CPUs



- Advantages
 - Easy to implement
 - Scalable (no contention on ready queue)
 - Better cache locality
- Disadvantages
 - Load-imbalance (some CPUs have more processes)
 - Unfair to processes and lower CPU utilization

Hybrid approach

- Use both global and per-CPU queues
- Balance jobs across queues



- Processor Affinity
 - Add process to a CPU's queue if recently run on the CPU
 - Cache state may still present
- Linux 2.6 uses a very similar approach

SMP: "gang" scheduling

- Multiple processes need coordination
- Should be scheduled simultaneously



- Scheduler on each CPU does not act independently
- Coscheduling (gang scheduling): run a set of processes simultaneously
- Global context-switch across all CPUs

Real-time scheduling

Real-time processes have timing constraints

- Expressed as deadlines or rate requirements
- E.g. gaming, video/music player, autopilot...
- Hard real-time systems required to complete a critical task within a guaranteed amount of time
- Soft real-time computing requires that critical processes receive priority over less fortunate ones
- Linux supports soft real-time

Outline

Advanced scheduling issues

- Multilevel queue scheduling
- Multiprocessor scheduling issues
- Real-time scheduling

Scheduler examples

- xv6 scheduling
- Linux scheduling

xv6 scheduling

One global queue across all CPUs

Local scheduling algorithm: RR

□ scheduler() in proc.c

Linux scheduling overview

- Multilevel Queue Scheduler
 - Each queue associated with a priority
 - A process's priority may be adjusted dynamically
- Two classes of processes
 - Soft real-time processes: always schedule highest priority processes
 - FCFS (SCHED_FIFO) or RR (SCHED_RR) for processes with same priority
 - Normal processes: priority with aging
 - RR for processes with same priority (SCHED_NORMAL)

Linux scheduling policies and priorities

Soft real-time scheduling policies

- SCHED_FIFO (FCFS)
- SCHED_RR (round robin)
- Always get priority over non real time tasks
- 100 static priority levels (1..99)
- Normal scheduling policies
 - SCHED_NORMAL: standard
 - · SCHED_OTHER in POSIX
 - SCHED_BATCH: CPU bound
 - SCHED_IDLE: lower priority
 - Static priority is 0
 - 40 dynamic priority levels (-20..0..19)

sched_setscheduler(), nice()



Linux scheduler implementations

- □ Linux 2.4: global queue, O(N)
 - Simple
 - Poor performance on multiprocessor/core
 - Poor performance when n is large
- □ Linux 2.5: O(1) scheduler, per-CPU run queue
 - Solves performance problems in the old scheduler
 - Complex, error prone logic to boost interactivity
 - No guarantee of fairness
- Linux 2.6: completely fair scheduler (CFS)
 - Fair
 - Naturally boosts interactivity

Problems with O(1) scheduler

Priorities for interactive processes?

- Higher priorities than CPU-bound processes
- How to detect interactive processes?
- Heuristics: more sleep/wait time → more interactive → higher priorities
- Ad hoc, can be unfair

□ Fairness for processes with diff. priorities?

- Convert priority to time slice
- Higher priorities get bigger time slices
- Ad hoc, can be unfair

Ideal fair scheduling Infinitesimally small time slice □ n processes: each runs uniformly at 1/nth rate 1 Process 1/3rd progress 3 Processes "Ideal multitasking CPU" Weighted fair scheduling □ Fair queuing [John Nagle 1985], stride scheduling [Carl A. Waldspurger, 1995]

Pros and cons

D Pros

- Fair
- Naturally boosts interactivity

Cons

- Too many context switches!
- Scanning all processes to find the next is O(N)

Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)

- Approximate fair scheduling
 - Run each process once per schedule latency period
 - sysctl_sched_latency
 - Time slice for process Pi: T * Wi/(Sum of all Wi)
 - sched_slice()
- Too many processes?
 - Lower bound on smallest time slice
 - Schedule latency = lower bound * number of procs
- □ Introduced in Linux 2.6.23

Picking the next process

Pick proc with weighted minimum runtime so far

- Virtual runtime: task->vruntime += executed time / Wi
- Example
 - P1: 1 ms burst per 10 ms (schedule latency)
 - P2 and P3 are CPU-bound
 - All processes have the same weight (1)



Finding proc with minimum runtime fast

Red-black tree

- Balanced binary search tree
- Ordered by vruntime as key
- O(IgN) insertion, deletion, update, O(1): find min



- Tasks move from left of tree to the right
- min_vruntime caches smallest value
- Update vruntime and min_vruntime
 - When task is added or removed
 - On every timer tick, context switch

Converting nice level to weight

Table of nice level to weight

- static const int prio_to_weight[40] (sched.h)
- \Box Nice level changes by 1 \rightarrow 10% weight
- Pre-computed to avoid
 - Floating point operations
 - Runtime overhead

Hierarchical, modular scheduler

•Code from kernel/sched/core.c:

```
class = sched_class_highest;
for (;;) {
     p = class->pick_next_task(rq);
     if (p)
           return p;
     /*
      * Will never be NULL as the idle class always
      * returns a non-NULL p:
      */
     class = class->next;
}
```

sched_class Structure

static const struct sched_class fair_sched_class = {

- .next
- .enqueue_task
- .dequeue_task
- .yield_task
- .check_preempt_curr
- .pick_next_task
- .put_prev_task
- .select_task_rq
- .load_balance
- .move_one_task
- .set_curr_task
- .task_tick
- .task_fork

}

- .prio_changed
- .switched_to

- = &idle_sched_class,
- = enqueue_task_fair,
- = dequeue_task_fair,
- = yield_task_fair,
- = check_preempt_wakeup,
- = pick_next_task_fair,
- = put_prev_task_fair,
- = select_task_rq_fair,
- = load_balance_fair,
- = move_one_task_fair,
- = set_curr_task_fair,
- = task_tick_fair,
- = task_fork_fair,
- = prio_changed_fair,
- = switched_to_fair,

The runqueue

All run queues available in array runqueues, one per CPU
 struct rq (kernel/sched/sched.h)

- Contains per-class run queues (RT, CFS) and params
 - E.g., CFS: a red-black tree of task_struct (struct rb_root tasks_timeline)
 - E.g., RT: array of active priorities
 - Data structure rt_rq, cfs_rq,
- struct sched_entity (include/linux/sched.h)
 - Member of task_struct, one per scheduler class
 - Maintains struct rb_node run_node, other per-task params
- Current scheduler for task is specified by task_struct.sched_class
 - Pointer to struct sched_class
 - Contains functions pertaining to class (object-oriented code)

Adding a new Scheduler Class

The Scheduler is modular and extensible

- New scheduler classes can be installed
- Each scheduler class has priority within hierarchical scheduling hierarchy
- Linked list of sched_class sched_class.next reflects priority
- Core functions: kernel/sched/core.c, kernel/sched/sched.h, include/linux/sched.h
- Additional classes: kernel/sched/fair.c, rt.c
- Process changes class via sched_setscheduler syscall
- Each class needs
 - New runqueue structure in main struct rq
 - New sched_class structure implementing scheduling functions
 - New sched_entity in the task_struct

Backup slides

Linux O(1) scheduler goals

- Avoid starvation
- Boost interactivity
 - Fast response to user despite high load
 - Achieved by inferring interactive processes and dynamically increasing their priorities
- Scale well with number of processes
 - O(1) scheduling overhead
- SMP goals
 - Scale well with number of processors
 - Load balance: no CPU should be idle if there is work
 - CPU affinity: no random bouncing of processes
- Reference: Linux/Documentation/sched-design.txt

Algorithm overview

- Multilevel Queue Scheduler
 - Each queue associated with a priority
 - A process's priority may be adjusted dynamically
- Two classes of processes
 - Real-time processes: always schedule highest priority processes
 - FCFS (SCHED_FIFO) or RR (SCHED_RR) for processes with same priority
 - Normal processes: priority with aging
 - RR for processes with same priority (SCHED_NORMAL)
 - Aging is implemented efficiently

runqueue data structure

Two arrays of priority queues

- active and expired
- Total 140 priorities [0, 140)
- Smaller integer = higher priority



Scheduling algorithm for normal processes

- Find highest priority non-empty queue in rq->active; if none, simulate aging by swapping active and expired
- 2. next = first process on that queue
- 3. Adjust next's priority
- 4. Context switch to next
- 5. When next used up its time slice, insert next to the right queue the expired array and call schedule() again

Aging: the traditional algorithm

```
for(pp = proc; pp < proc+NPROC; pp++) {
    if (pp->prio != MAX)
        pp->prio++;
    if (pp->prio > curproc->prio)
        reschedule();
```

}

Problem: O(N). Every process is examined on each schedule() call!

This code is taken almost verbatim from 6th Edition Unix, circa 1976.

Simulate aging

- Swapping active and expired gives low priority processes a chance to run
- □ Advantage: O(1)
 - Processes are touched only when they start or stop running

Find highest priority non-empty queue

□ Time complexity: O(1)

 Depends on the number of priority levels, not the number of processes

□ Implementation: a bitmap for fast look up

- 140 queues \rightarrow 5 integers
- A few compares to find the first non-zero bit
- Hardware instruction to find the first 1-bit
 - bsfl on Intel

Real-time scheduling

Linux has soft real-time scheduling

- No hard real-time guarantees
- All real-time processes are higher priority than any conventional processes
- □ Processes with priorities [0, 99] are real-time
- Process can be converted to real-time via sched_setscheduler system call

Real-time policies

- □ First-in, first-out: SCHED_FIFO
 - Static priority
 - Process is only preempted for a higher-priority process
 - No time quanta; it runs until it blocks or yields voluntarily
 - RR within same priority level
- □ Round-robin: SCHED_RR
 - As above but with a time quanta
- Normal processes have SCHED_NORMAL scheduling policy

Multiprocessor scheduling

- □ Per-CPU runqueue
- Possible for one processor to be idle while others have jobs waiting in their run queues
- Periodically, rebalance runqueues
 - Migration threads move processes from one runque to another
- The kernel always locks runqueues in the same order for deadlock prevention

Adjusting priority

- Goal: dynamically increase priority of interactive process
- □ How to determine interactive?
 - Sleep ratio
 - Mostly sleeping: I/O bound
 - Mostly running: CPU bound
- Implementation: per process sleep_avg
 - Before switching out a process, subtract from sleep_avg how many ticks a task ran
 - Before switching in a process, add to sleep_avg how many ticks it was blocked up to MAX_SLEEP_AVG (10 ms)

Calculating time slices

- Stored in field time_slice in struct task_struct
- Higher priority processes also get bigger time-slice
- □ task_timeslice() in sched.c
 - If (static_priority < 120) time_slice = (140-static_priority) * 20
 - If (static_priority >= 120) time_slice = (140-static_priority)
 * 5

Example time slices

Priority:	Static Pri	Niceness	Quantum
Highest	100	-20	800 ms
High	110	-10	600 ms
Normal	120	0	100 ms
Low	130	10	50 ms
Lowest	139	20	5 ms

Priority partition

Total 140 priorities [0, 140)

- Smaller integer = higher priority
- Real-time: [0,100)
- Normal: [100, 140)
- □ MAX_PRIO and MAX_RT_PRIO
 - include/linux/sched.h

Priority related fields in *struct task_struct*

static_prio: static priority set by administrator/users

- Default: 120 (even for realtime processes)
- Set use sys_nice() or sys_setpriority()
 - Both call set_user_nice()
- prio: dynamic priority
 - Index to prio_array
- rt_priority: real time priority
 - prio = 99 rt_priority

include/linux/sched.h

Outline

Advanced scheduling issues

- Multilevel queue scheduling
- Multiprocessor scheduling issues
- Real-time scheduling

□ Scheduling in Linux

- Scheduling algorithm
- Setting priorities and time slices
- Other implementation issues

Bookkeeping on each timer interrupt

- scheduler_tick()
 - Called on each tick
 - timer_interrupt → do_timer_interrupt → do_timer_interrupt_hook
 → update_process_times
- □ If realtime and SCHED_FIFO, do nothing
 - SCHED_FIFO is non-preemptive
- If realtime and SCHED_RR and used up time slice, move to end of rq->active[prio]
- □ If SCHED_NORMAL and used up time slice
 - If not interactive or starving expired queue, move to end of rq->expired[prio]
 - Otherwise, move to end of rq->active[prio]
 - Boost interactive
- □ Else // SCHED_NORMAL, and not used up time slice
 - Break large time slice into pieces TIMESLICE_GRANULARITY

Processor affinity

- Each process has a bitmask saying what CPUs it can run on
 - By default, all CPUs
 - Processes can change the mask
 - Inherited by child processes (and threads), thus tending to keep them on the same CPU

Rebalancing does not override affinity

Load balancing

- To keep all CPUs busy, load balancing pulls tasks from busy runqueues to idle runqueues.
- If schedule finds that a runqueue has no runnable tasks (other than the idle task), it calls load_balance
- Icad_balance also called via timer
 - schedule_tick calls rebalance_tick
 - Every tick when system is idle
 - Every 100 ms otherwise

Load balancing (cont.)

- Icad_balance looks for the busiest runqueue (most runnable tasks) and takes a task that is (in order of preference):
 - . inactive (likely to be cache cold)
 - . high priority

□ *load_balance* skips tasks that are:

- likely to be cache warm (hasn't run for cache_decay_ticks time)
- currently running on a CPU
- not allowed to run on the current CPU (as indicated by the cpus_allowed bitmask in the task_struct)

Optimizations

- If next is a kernel thread, borrow the MM mappings from prev
 - User-level MMs are unused.
 - Kernel-level MMs are the same for all kernel threads
- □ If prev == next
 - Don't context switch

CFS: Scheduling Latency

Equivalent to time slice across all processes

- Approximation of infinitesimally small
- To set/get type: \$ sysctl kernel.sched_latency_ns
- Each process gets equal proportion of slice
 - Timeslice(task) = latency/nr_tasks
 - Lower bound on smallest slice
 - To set/get: \$ sysctl kernel.sched_min_granularity_ns
 - Too many tasks? sched_latency = nr_tasks*min_granularity
- Priority through proportional sharing
 - Task gets share of CPU proportional to relative priority
 - Timeslice(task) = Timeslice(t) * prio(t) / Sum_all_t'(prio(t'))

Maximum wait time bounded by scheduling latency

CFS: Picking the Next Process

- Pick task with minimum runtime so far
 - Tracked by vruntime member variable
 - Every time process runs for t ns, vruntime +=t (weighed by process priority)
- □ How does this impact I/O vs CPU bound tasks
 - Task A: needs 1 msec every 100 sec (I/O bound)
 - Task B, C: 80 msec every 100 msec (CPU bound)
 - After 10 times that A, B, and C have been scheduled
 - vruntime(A) = 10, vruntime(B, C) = 800
 - A gets priority, B and C get large time slices (10msec each)
- Problem: how to efficiently track min runtime?
 - Scheduler needs to be efficient
 - Finding min every time is an O(N) operation