

Agreement with stopping failures

Problem definition:

n-node connected undirected graph

inputs, outputs in V

synchronous model

$\leq f$ failures; all failures are stopping failures (ie, the process stops, it doesn't misbehave)

Agreement: no 2 processes that decide, decide on unequal values

Validity: if all start with v then v is only possible decision

Termination: all nonfaulty processes eventually decide

Complexity measures

Time: rounds until all nonfaulty decide

Communication: number messages

Algorithms

Maintain a set $W \subseteq V$ of all values you've seen, initially your own initial value

Repeatedly broadcast W

After k rounds, if W contains a single value, pick it; otherwise, pick default v_0 .

Alternatively, pick the smallest value in W – this requires a totally ordered set V .

How many rounds? Let's consider the number of failures:

1. No failures: 1 round is enough.
2. 1 failure: If the process with the only different value fails in the middle of sending, then some processes have two values and some have only one. Hence, need 2 rounds.

In general: $f+1$ rounds

Theorem: After $f+1$ rounds, all processes pick the same value and if all processes started with the same value they all pick it.

Proof Sketch:

- 1) If no process fails during a round, then all processes have the same set W , ie, all have seen the same set of values.
- 2) Once the W 's are equal, they stay equal.
- 3) if the W 's are equal, all processes decide the same.
- 4) There is at least one round in the first $f+1$ rounds when no process fails.

Agreement: See claims 2) and 3)

Validity: If all start the same, see 2) and 3)

Termination: ?

Complexity: $f+1$ rounds, $O((f+1)*n^2)$ messages.

Optimization:

Broadcast only the first 2 values – the decision is based on the size of W for the default decision rule.

For proof, use simulation relation.

Lower bound on number of rounds: $f+1$

Can prove by assuming we have an f round algorithm and getting a contradiction.