

Problem 15.6. Using just the specification in the book, which is rather ambiguous, the following is the simplest example I could come up with that seemed reasonable to me. I will be interested to see what else folks came up with.

Assumptions:

1. All distances are 1 .
2. The routers broadcast their routing tables every 30 seconds (Comer $4^{\text {th }}$ ed 16.3.2, page 297).
3. The timeout period for a route is 180 seconds (Comer $4^{\text {th }}$ ed 16.3.2, page 298) - the entire scenario takes place in less than 180 seconds, so no routes time out.
4. The hold-down period is 60 seconds (Comer $4^{\text {th }}$ ed 16.3.3, page 300).
5. The routes to network 1 have stabilized at:
6. Router A: Distance 1 to network 1, over local interface
7. Router B: Distance 2 to network 1, through router A
8. Router C: Distance 3 to network 1, through router B.
9. Router D: Distance 2 to network 1, through router A.

| Time | Event |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Routers broadcast distances to network 1 and others (we're only interested in network 1). |
| 1 | Routers B and D go down. |
| 2 | Router A loses connectivity to network 1 (the end of the hold down time will be 62). It <br> broadcasts the unavailability of network 1 (ie, distance infinity). |
| 30 | A broadcasts unavailability of 1; C broadcasts "distance 3 to network 1, through B". No <br> one sees either broadcast. |
| 60 | A broadcasts unavailability of 1; C broadcasts "distance 3 to network 1, through B". No <br> one sees either broadcast. |
| 62 | A's hold down ends |
| 63 | D recovers, knows only connected networks (4 and 5), broadcasts the information <br> (nothing about network 1). |
| 90 | C broadcasts "distance 3 to network 1, through B" |


| Time | Event |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | A broadcasts - either nothing about network 1 or distance infinity (ie, 15) to network 1 |
| $90+$ | D receives C's broadcast, records "distance 4 to network 1, through C" <br> D ignores A's information, if any, about 1 (the path is not as good as the path through C). |
| 93 | D broadcasts "distance 4 to network 1, through C" |
| $93+$ | A uses D's information for network 1, records "distance 5 to network 1, through D" |
| 94 | B recovers, broadcasts "distance 1 to networks 2 and 3, through local interfaces" |
| 120 | C broadcasts "distance 2 to network 1 through B" - B should ignore this, because B was <br> the source of the information. <br> A broadcasts "distance 5 to network 1, through D" - B should use this, the best "useful" <br> information. |
|  | Loop has formed! |


15.9. This one is relatively easy; the key is that all the routers have correct information about the network, except for one that is missing a crucial link.

Assumptions: Using the above diagram, suppose that all distances are 1 except over network 3, which is 100 . Using SPF, all routers start with a global broadcast of information about locally attached networks and their distances. Suppose all information gets to all routers EXCEPT the information from C and E , which doesn't get to A . Then all routers except A know the actual network topology, and route in such a way as to avoid network 3. A doesn't know about network 5, so it thinks it has to use network 3 to get to network 1, i.e., if A wants to send a message to network 1, it first sends it to B. B knows that the shortest route to $C$ is actually through $A$, so $B$ sends it back.

Thus we have a loop.

